UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,339	01/26/2004	Shawn R. Feaster	034047.003DIV1 (W 00-23B)	7108
53502 7590 01/29/2008 OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE (SKS)		EXAMINER		
U.S. ARMY MED. RESEARCH & MATERIEL COMMAND			SHEN, BIN	
	504 SCOTT STREET ATTN: MCMR-ZA-J (MS. ELIZABETH ARWINE) FORT DETRICK, MD 21702-5012		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/763,339	FEASTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bin Shen	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2007.					
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance excépt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-36 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-34 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29, 30, 35, 39</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	·				

Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
. apor recognition bate	3/ <u> </u>					

Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1657

DETAILED ACTION

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1657.

Status of the Claims

Claims 29-36 and 39 have been presented for examination.

Claims 31-34 and 36 remain FINALLY withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 31 May 2006. Claims 29-30, 35 and 39 are examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doretti et al. (Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 1998;74:1-12).

Doretti et al. teach an enzyme biosensor (read as a device; page 12) for the determination of cholinesterase on polymer membrane (see abstract). The biosensor uses physical entrapment strategies of a plurality of enzymes BChE and ChO (same function as a sealed chamber, see page 2, line 11-14) to which is added the plurality of substrates to a plurality of aliquots of the test sample (read as means for adding substrates to test sample), and it detects

Page 3

activities/concentrations of different substrates (read on as measuring reaction rates, see page 2, 7th paragraph) by measuring the reaction rates ampereometrically (see Fig. 1, Fig. 3 and Table 1).

Additionally, the claimed device in claim 29 read on as a spectrophotometer see page 5, 3rd full paragraph which comprises a means for adding substrates (the cover), a means for measuring reaction rates (the optics and photodetector) and means for determining the activity (the output of the spectrophotometer to a strip chart or data collection means).

The functional intended use with diluting, calculating and extracting sensitivity coefficients does not materially change the device and accordingly is given no patentable weight. That is the device is the same whether these activities are practiced or not. The device can be used in alternative measurements, particularly the spectrophotometer which can be used to obtain a spectrum of other molecules.

Therefore, the cited reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claims above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29, 30, 35, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doretti et al. in view of Magnotti et al. (Clinica Chimica Acta, 1988;315:315-332), and further in view of Ellman et al. (Biochemical Pharmacology 1961;7:88-95).

Doretti et al. teach what is above.

Doretti et al. do not explicitly teach use of the sensor in a handheld device with a cartridge.

Magnotti et al. teach the reagents (see pages 317-318) needed for the testing device and the advantages to develop a portable and convenient device/kit (read on as handheld) with stable,

Page 4

premixed reagents (read on as cartridge) to measure cholinesterases in a field assay (see abstract and also page 329, 3rd full paragraph) because field monitoring erythrocyte and plasma cholinesterase activities is beneficial to agricultural workers and others at risk for pesticide exposure (see page 331, 2nd full paragraph).

Ellman et al. teach a new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity which is later developed into the Test-Mate OP kit by EQM Research Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA (as stated on page 1078, lines 11-14 of Paz-y-Mino et al. Environmental Health Perspectives 2002;110:(1077-1080)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to develop a handheld device with a biosensor (as taught by Doretti et al.) and a cartridge (whose convenience is suggested by Magnotti et al.) to monitor enzyme activity because Doretti et al. teach a biosensor to detect enzyme activity, and Magnotti et al. teach the reagents that are needed for the enzyme assay and suggest to develop a portable and convenient device to monitor cholinesterases activity in the field. One would have been motivated to make the modification because Magnotti define the optimal criteria for field measurement of cholinesterase (see page 328, 1st paragraph of Discussion) and the need for a portable/handheld device/kit with stable, premixed reagents (cartridge), and would reasonably have expected success because Doretti et al. teach how to made a biosensor for cholinesterase detection, and Magnotti et al. teach many advantages of developing a portable, convenient and stable assay system to be used in the field.

The Test-Mate OP system has all the components that are required for the detection of 'cholinesterase as described by Ellman et al., thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Test-Mate OP kit to detect, measure or monitor the activities or concentrations of cholinesterase instead of the claimed device.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control

Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1657

Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the sensitivity coefficient of the claimed invention is not the same as the liner responses provided in Doretti, and the substrates in Doretti is not the same as the protein in the inhibited dilutions of the claimed invention.

It is the examiner's position that claim 29 claim a device with means to add substrates to sample and means to measuring reaction rate that read on as a spectrophotometer, and all other method steps (obtaining step, exposing step, measuring step, calculating step, etc.,) in claim 29 does not materially change the device.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Paz-y-Mino et al. teach the monitoring of cholinesterase with the Test-Mate OP kit.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1657 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are

Application/Control Number: 10/763,339

Art Unit: 1657

available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or objections in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bin Shen, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from about 9:00 AM to about 5:30 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to her office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached at (571) 272-0925.

B ShenArt Unit 165716 Jan 2008

/Jon P. Weber/
Jon P. Weber
Supervisory Patent Examiner, 1657